

Coherent Obstruction Theory of Spectral Algebras

1 Square-Zero extensions of discrete rings Linearize

A central phenomenon uncovered in the deformation/obstruction theory of discrete rings (in the tradition of Artin, Illusie, Hartshorne, ...) is that the a priori *geometric/non-linear* theory of square-zero extensions *linearizes*:

$$\{\text{Square zero extensions of } R \text{ by } I\} \simeq \text{Map}_{D(R)}(\mathbb{L}_R, \Sigma I).$$

To drive home the point about linearity, observe that the LHS is a priori a groupoid. The RHS, being mapping space in a stable ∞ -category, has the structure of an E_∞ group. That is, this equivalence tells us that it makes sense to “add” square zero extensions and “scale” square zero extensions by elements of R — that there’s an operation building new square-zero extensions from old ones by taking R -linear superpositions/weighted sums.

2 “Bounded” extensions of ring spectra also linearize

The same phenomenon occurs when the square-zero ideal I has bounded Postnikov amplitude, by work of Basterra-Mandell and Lurie.

Theorem 2.1 (Lurie, (HA Thm. 7.4.1.23, Defn. 7.4.1.18)).

$$\{\text{Square zero extensions } A \rightarrow R \text{ by } I\} \simeq \text{Map}_{D(R)}(\mathbb{L}_R, \Sigma I),$$

granted that A is connective and I has homotopy groups concentrated in degree range $[n, 2n]$.

This note explores what happens when the strong connectivity and truncation constraints here do not hold. Examples: MU , $THH(\mathbb{F}_p)$, \dots

3 Coherent square-zero extensions

Definition 3.1 (Square Zero Extensions). Let

- \mathcal{O} be a coherent stable operad (in the sense of HA chapter 5),
- $V^\otimes \in \mathcal{CAlg}(\text{Mod}_{Sp}(Pr^L))$ be a presentably symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category,
- $A \in \text{Alg}^\mathcal{O}(V)$ be an \mathcal{O} -algebra in V .

A (coherent) *square-zero extension* of A is the data of a pair (\mathcal{R}, θ) , where

- $\mathcal{R} \in \text{Alg}^\mathcal{O}(\widehat{\text{Fil}}_V)$, i.e. an \mathcal{O} -algebra in complete filtered objects of V ,
- $\theta : gr^0(\mathcal{R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} A$ an equivalence in $\text{Alg}^\mathcal{O}(V)$,
- and \mathcal{R} is required to satisfy the additional property $gr^q(\mathcal{R}) = 0$ for all $q \notin \{0, 1\}$.

In this situation, we say that (\mathcal{R}, θ) is a square-zero extension of A by $gr^1\mathcal{R}$.

Footnotes

- **Presentability:** I'm not sure if the presentability assumption on V is strictly necessary. It's possible that I'm asking for it because (1) the simple expression $\text{Fil}_V \simeq \text{Fil} \otimes_{Sp} V$ simplifies our arguments, and (2) all our examples will be presentable.
- **Attribution:** I learned this from Tyler Lawson, but it might have a longer history, e.g. in model-structures approaches to Smith Ideals.

3.1 What do Square Zero Extensions Look Like?

Remark 3.2 (Underlying filtrations of square zero extensions). The combination of the conditions

- that the associated graded of \mathcal{R} is concentrated in degrees 0 and 1,
- that the filtration on \mathcal{R} is complete

forces the underlying filtered object of \mathcal{R} to be of the form

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\sim} 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow I \xrightarrow{f} R \xrightarrow{\sim} R \xrightarrow{\sim} \dots$$

where I sits in degree 1, mapping to an R in degree 0 via the map f , and we have an equivalence

$$\mathrm{coFib}(f) \simeq A.$$

The objects I and R here have additional structures:

- $gr^1(\mathcal{R}) \simeq I$ has the structure of a module over the algebra $gr^0(\mathcal{R}) \simeq A$, hence, the object $I \in V$ sitting in degree 1 has the structure of an A -module. Here and already before this point, we've used extensively that gr^0 and gr^\bullet are symmetric monoidal functors, among other salient properties about filtrations and gradings. These salient properties can be summarized by that $gr : Fil \rightarrow Gr$ is the universal perverse schober, a theorem of Gammage-Hilburn-Mazel-Gee.
- the \mathcal{O} -algebra structure on \mathcal{R} induces a \mathcal{O} -algebra structure on the 0-th degree term of the filtration $\mathcal{R}(0) \simeq R$, as the functor $(-)(0)$ is lax symmetric monoidal. We can also see this from that the “converges-to functor” $(-)(-\infty)$ is lax symmetric monoidal.

In short, a square-zero extension of an \mathcal{O} -algebra A by an (\mathcal{O} -operadic) A -module I is given by

- an \mathcal{O} -algebra R ,
- a map of algebras $R \rightarrow A$ whose kernel is the A -module I ,
- some additional coherence data. We'll see later that this data precisely encodes what it means for I to be “square-zero” in higher algebra.

Remark 3.3 (Square zero extensions as 1-term coherent cochains). By the correspondence between complete filtered spectra and homotopy coherent cochains (whose strongest modern version was proved by Ariotta), the above description of the filtration tells us that a coherent extension of A is an algebra in coherent cochains whose underlying cochain object looks like

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\sim} 0 \xrightarrow{\sim} 0 \xrightarrow{\sim} A \xrightarrow{d} \Sigma I \rightarrow 0 \xrightarrow{\sim} 0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \dots$$

This encodes the idea of a *derivation* valued in I .

TODO: explain the degree shift in terms of the universal property of $\mathbb{S}(1)[1] \in Fil$.

4 Linearization of coherent square-zero extensions

For discrete rings, we saw that

- the **non-linear/geometric/“commutative”** data of a square-zero thickening ring map
- is converted to
- the **linear/representation-theoretic/“non-commutative”** data.

By our discussion above, we see that

- the **non-linear** data is the filtered algebra description (encodes coherences on a ring map),
- the **linear data** is the coherent cochains description (encodes structure on a derivation),
- the conversion is performed by the **Ariotta correspondence**.

The **lesson** we learn from this is that by passing to a more coherent version of the notion of square-zero extension we get to classify square-zero extensions of arbitrary ring spectra, using an argument where neither connectivity or truncatedness assumptions are needed. Contrast this to the situation in HA where square-zerosness is defined for maps with connective fibers, and there it's defined as a condition $\pi_0(I)$.